ATIONAL CENTER FOR EDUCATION STATISTICS Institute of Education Sciences



New York City Department of Education Science 2009

Grade 4 **Public Schools**

Trial Urban District Snapshot Report

Scores at Selected Percentiles

2009 Science Assessment Content

Guided by a new framework, the NAEP science assessment was updated in 2009 to keep the content current with key developments in science, curriculum standards, assessments, and research. The 2009 framework organizes science content into three broad content areas. Physical science includes concepts related to properties and changes of matter, forms of energy, energy transfer and conservation, position and motion of objects, and forces affecting motion. Life science includes concepts related to organization and development, matter and energy transformations, interdependence, heredity and reproduction, and evolution and diversity.

Earth and space sciences includes concepts related to objects in the universe, the history of the Earth, properties of Earth materials, tectonics, energy in Earth systems, climate and weather, and biogeochemical cycles.

The 2009 science assessment was composed of 143 questions at grade 4, 162 at grade 8, and 179 at grade 12. Students responded to only a portion of the questions, which included both multiple-choice questions and questions that required a written response.

Overall Results

- In 2009, the average score of fourth-grade students in New York City was 135. This was not significantly different from the average score of 135 for public school students in large cities.
- The percentage of students in New York City who performed at or above the NAEP Proficient level was 18 percent in 2009. This percentage was not significantly different from large cities (20 percent).
- The percentage of students in New York City who performed at or above the NAEP Basic level was 56 percent in 2009. This percentage was not significantly different from large cities (56 percent).

Results for Student Groups in 2009

			Perce	entages at	
	Percent of	Avg.	or	above	Percent at
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Gender					
Male	51	135	55	18	#
Female	49	136	57	18	#
Race/Ethnicity					
White	15	159	83	41	1
Black	29	125	43	9	#
Hispanic	40	127	47	10	#
Asian/Pacific Islander	16	153	78	34	1
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	+	‡	‡
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	87	132	53	15	#
Not eligible	11	158	80	41	1

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed.

Score 300 160160 150 137 140 130 120 112 110 Ó 25th 50th 75th

NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP science scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

New York City Average Score 2009 38 135 18 **#** Large city (public) 135 2009 Nation (public) 149* 2009 Percent at Basic, Proficient and Advanced Percent below *Basic* 📕 Below Basic 📃 Basic Proficient Advanced

* Significantly different (p < .05) from New York City. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers. # Rounds to zero.

NOTE: The percentage at Advanced was higher in the Nation (0.35) than in New York City (0.21). Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Large city (public) includes public schools located in the urbanized areas of cities with populations of 250,000 or more.

Score Gaps for Student Groups

- In 2009, female students in New York City had an average score that was not significantly different from male students
- In 2009, Black students had an average score that was 34 points lower than White students. This performance gap was not significantly different from large cities (40 points).
- In 2009, Hispanic students had an average score that was 31 points lower than White students. This performance gap was not significantly different from large cities (36 points).
- In 2009, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, an indicator of low family income, had an average score that was 25 points lower than students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch. This performance gap was not significantly different from large cities (30 points).

NOTE: Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Science Assessment.

Achievement Level Percentages and Average Score Results