Trial Urban District Snapshot Report

2009 Science Assessment Content

Guided by a new framework, the NAEP science assessment was updated in 2009 to keep the content current with key developments in science, curriculum standards, assessments, and research. The 2009 framework organizes science content into three broad content areas. **Physical science** includes concepts related to properties and changes of matter, forms of energy, energy transfer and conservation, position and motion of objects, and forces affecting motion.

Life science includes concepts related to organization and development, matter and energy transformations, interdependence, heredity and reproduction, and evolution and diversity.

Earth and space sciences includes concepts related to objects in the universe, the history of the Earth, properties of Earth materials, tectonics, energy in Earth systems, climate and weather, and biogeochemical cycles.

The 2009 science assessment was composed of 143 questions at grade 4, 162 at grade 8, and 179 at grade 12. Students responded to only a portion of the questions, which included both multiple-choice questions and questions that required a written response.

Scores at Selected Percentiles Score 300 150 140 130 120 110 100 99

NOTE: Scores at selected percentiles on the NAEP science scale indicate how well students at lower, middle, and higher levels performed.

50th

75th

Overall Results

- In 2009, the average score of fourth-grade students in Philadelphia was 121. This was lower than the average score of 135 for public school students in large cities.
- The percentage of students in Philadelphia who performed at or above the NAEP *Proficient* level was 8 percent in 2009. This percentage was smaller than large cities (20 percent).
- The percentage of students in Philadelphia who performed at or above the NAEP Basic level was 38 percent in 2009. This percentage was smaller than large cities (56 percent).

Achievement Level Percentages and Average Score Results

Philadelph	ia	Average Score								
2009	62	30 8	:	121						
Large city	(public)									
2009	44*	36*	19* #*	135*						
Nation (pu	ıblic)									
2009	29*	39*	32*	1* 149*						
Percent Percent at Basic , Proficient below Basic and Advanced										
Below Basic Basic Proficient Advanced										

 * Significantly different (p < .05) from Philadelphia. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

O

25th

NOTE: The percentage at *Advanced* was higher in large cities (0.35) than in Philadelphia (0.07). Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. Large city (public) includes public schools located in the urbanized areas of cities with populations of 250,000 or more.

Results for Student Groups in 2009

					entages at	
ı		Percent of	Avg.	or	above	Percent at
ı	Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
	Gender					
	Male	51	121	38	10	#
	Female	49	121	38	7	#
	Race/Ethnicity					
	White	12	141	61	25	#
	Black	61	115	30	3	#
	Hispanic	19	120	40	10	#
	Asian/Pacific Islander	6	141	63	23	1
	American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	#	‡	#
	National School Lunch Program					
	Eligible	87	119	35	6	#
	Not eligible	13	137	56	21	#

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, and the "Unclassified" category for race/ethnicity are not displayed.

Score Gaps for Student Groups

- In 2009, female students in Philadelphia had an average score that was not significantly different from male students.
- In 2009, Black students had an average score that was 26 points lower than White students. This performance gap was narrower than large cities (40 points).
- In 2009, Hispanic students had an average score that was 21 points lower than White students. This performance gap was narrower than large cities (36 points).
- In 2009, students who were eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch, an indicator of low family income, had an average score that was 18 points lower than students who were not eligible for free/reduced-price school lunch. This performance gap was not significantly different from large cities (30 points).

NOTE: Statistical comparisons are calculated on the basis of unrounded scale scores or percentages. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2009 Science Assessment.

[#] Rounds to zero.